

Quinto Congreso Nacional de Riego y Drenaje COMEII-AURPAES 2019 Septiembre 2019 | Mazatlán, Sinaloa





@CongresoCOMEII

A HYDROLOGIC ENGINEERING ANALYSIS OF A FAILED RANGELAND WATER CONTROL STRUCTURE ON THE BUENOS AIRES NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

CAMERON DORSETT; DONALD SLACK; MARY NICHOLS; KAMEL DIDAN

Fecha de presentación 19/septiembre/2019 Mazatlán, Sinaloa, México



www.comeii.com | www.riego.mx | info@comeii.com



Outline

- Introduction
- Methods and Materials
- Results and Discussion
- Conclusions





- Comprehensive watershed analysis including:
 - Characterization of selected study site watershed
 - Historical evaluation of selected study site watershed
 - Focus on spillway/weir at hydrological outlet of watershed using hydrologic

engineering methods to determine conditions of structural failure



- Study conducted on present-day Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge (BANWR)
 - 47,500 [ha] in total
 - Once working cattle ranch
- Founded 1880s by Don Pedro Aguirre Jr. as Buenos Ayres Ranch
- First water retention structure, Aguirre Lake, est. circa 1883-1886
- Many ownership changes—name change to Buenos Aires Ranch in 1909
- Implementation of water control structures (ranch owners and Soil Conservation Service)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife purchase in 1985 to establish wildlife reserve (BANWR)











- Declining hydrologic connectivity on arid and semi-arid Southwestern rangelands
- Arroyo cutting/channelization of floodplains alters ground/surface water interactions
- Heavy grazing, intense flooding, failed/unmaintained water control structures

- Water control structures implemented across rangeland watersheds including:
 - Berms, water spreaders, spillways/weirs, flow control gates, stock tanks









1. Map watershed by pinpointing key water control structures and drainages/streamlines

2. Utilize historic/current aerial/ground-level imagery to characterize watershed

3. Conduct hydrologic engineering analysis of spillway to quantify maximum capacity

and the storm magnitude generating large enough runoff to exceed that capacity



- 1. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) software (ArcMap 10.5.1 and Google Earth Pro)
- 2. Both historic and current aerial and ground-level imagery

(USGS Earth Explorer, Google Earth Pro, photos taken in person)

- 3. Field visits to walk watershed hydrologic route and take measurements of spillway
- 4. Hydrologic engineering analysis via weir formula, Rational and Curve Number Methods





• Spillway capacity calculated using standard weir formula:



$$Q = CLH^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

where,

Q = discharge [m³s⁻¹] C = weir coefficient = 1.70 L = weir length [m] H = hydraulic head [m]







Runoff Estimations (Rational Method)

• The peak flow rate was calculated as:

$$q_p = \frac{CiA}{360}$$

where,

- q_p = peak runoff [m³s⁻¹] C = runoff coefficient i = rainfall intensity [mm/hr] A = drainage area [ha]
- Runoff coefficients from USDA Web Soil Survey
- Rainfall intensities from NOAA

• Watershed areas:

Sub-watershed A – 94.2 [ha] Sub-watershed B – 122 [ha] Sub-watershed C – 1285 [ha] Entire watershed – 1501 [ha] **Design Storms (Curve Number Method)**

Exit



 Rainfall-runoff hydrograph modeling via add-on for Microsoft Excel called Wildcat5

 Assists watershed analysts in predicting peak flow/runoff volumes from single-event storms with Curve Number Method as basis



• CN Method is basis for estimation of runoff volume and generation of hydrograph:

$$Q = \frac{(P-0.2S)^2}{P+0.8S}$$
, P > 0.2S

where,

- Q = runoff depth/volume [mm] P = precipitation depth [mm] S = soil water retention parameter [mm]
- The soil water retention parameter is based on the CN and is found using:

$$S = \frac{25400}{CN} - 254 (Q, P, S [mm])$$





| Rainfall Excess Options | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| DISTRIBUTED | Accept & Continue | Prior Settings & Continue | |
| Ourve Number (de | efault) $\lambda = 0.2$ | CN Values | |
| 🔿 Curve Number (S | 0.05) $\lambda = Ia/S_{0.05}$ | CN Values | |
| Exponentially distr | ibuted infiltration capacities | | |
| | μ = 9.4 | 996 mm/hr Calculator | |
| O Distributed F | Q = P - F | F Values | |

| Hydrolo | jic Response l | Jnits | | | |
|---------|----------------|--------------------|----------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| | Get | CN Value from Tabl | e | | Load File |
| | Area (Ha) | Description | CN (0.2) | CN (0.05) | |
| 1 | 90. | 1 desert shrub, fa | 81 | 73.83 | Save File |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | _ | | | | Accept & Continue |
| 4 | _ | | | | |
| 5 | - | | | | Prior Settings & Continue |
| 0 | | | | | |
| 8 | - | | | | |
| 9 | - | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | Total Area = 90.1 Ha |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | Weighted CN (0.2) = 81.00 |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | Weighted CN (0.05) = 73.83 |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | - | | | | |
| 1/ | | | | | |
| 10 | - | | | | CN (0.05) values are calculated |
| 20 | | | | | Do NOT enter them |
| 20 | | | | | bonor char dien |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



| STORM AND STORM DISTRIBUTION | |
|------------------------------|---|
| WATERSHED INFORMATION | ſ |
| Rainfall Excess Method | |
| Time of Concentration | |
| Unit Hydrograph Type | |

| Watershed Info & Time of Concentration | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Watershed Identification 1/4/2010 | | | | | | | | |
| Area (Ha) 90.1 CN : 81.00 | Area (Ha) 90.1 CN : 81.00 | | | | | | | |
| Time of Concentration / Lag Time | 1 | | | | | | | |
| ⊖ Given value TC= 0.5 hr | Curve Numbers | | | | | | | |
| Calculate Tc Kent's equation (SCS method) [1972] (most used ▼ | Accept & Continue | | | | | | | |
| Average Land Slope (%) 2.2 | | | | | | | | |
| Length of Longest Channel (m) 3140 | Prior Settings & Continue | | | | | | | |
| Calculated Tc (hr) 2.235 | Ø Help | | | | | | | |
| SIMAS Equation TL [Centroid - Centroid Lag] | | | | | | | | |
| Width (m) 261 | | | | | | | | |
| Average Land Slope (Percent) 0.6 | | | | | | | | |
| Time Lag (hr) 0.792 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |







- Storm *inputs* (duration and rainfall) were split into two categories: "flash floods" (2 and 6-hr) and "floods" (12 and 24-hr)
- Recurrence intervals for the analysis were 10, 25, 60, and 80-year















| Routing Parameters | | × |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Reservoir area | 0.427 | На |
| Spillway Length | 13.4 | m |
| Spillway weir coeff | 1.7 | |
| | | |
| Help | Execute Routing | Cancel |
| | | |





• Spillway Capacity: $Q = 21.1 \text{ [m}^3\text{s}^{-1}\text{]}$

• Rational Method:

Table 1. Precipitation Intensity (mm/hr) and corresponding peak runoff rates (m³s⁻¹) for Subwatershed A | Latitude: 31.6042°, Longitude: -111.5129° | Elevation (USGS): 1063.3 m

| | Storm Recurrence Interval [yr] | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 10 | 25 | 50 | 60 | 80 | 100 |
| Intensity (mm/hr) | 46.2 | 54.9 | 61.6 | 63.0 | 65.7 | 68.5 |
| Peak runoff (m ³ s ⁻¹) | 3.24 | 3.85 | 4.32 | 4.42 | 4.61 | 4.80 |

Table 2. Precipitation Intensity Estimates (mm/hr) and corresponding peak runoff rates (m³s⁻¹) for <u>Sub-watershed B | Latitude: 31.6074°, Longitude: -111.5094° | Elevation (USGS): 1064.3 m</u>

| | Storm Recurrence Interval [yr] | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|--|
| | 10 | 25 | 50 | 60 | 80 | 100 | |
| Intensity (mm/hr) | 61.1 | 72.8 | 81.6 | 83.2 | 86.5 | 89.8 | |
| Peak runoff (m ³ s ⁻¹) | 6.11 | 7.29 | 8.17 | 8.33 | 8.66 | 8.99 | |

| Sub-watershed C Latitude: 31.6596°, Longitude: -111.6144° Elevation (USGS): 1232.3 m | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|--|--|
| | | Storm Recurrence Interval [yr] | | | | | | |
| | 10 | 25 | 50 | 60 | 80 | 100 | | |
| Intensity (mm/hr) | 20.5 | 24.6 | 27.7 | 28.5 | 30.1 | 31.7 | | |
| Peak runoff (m ³ s ⁻¹) | 22.8 | 27.4 | 30.9 | 31.8 | 33.6 | 35.4 | | |

Table 3. Precipitation Intensity Estimates (mm/hr) and corresponding peak runoff rates (m³s⁻¹) for

Table 4. Precipitation Intensity Estimates (mm/hr) and corresponding peak runoff rates (m³s⁻¹) for Entire 1501-ha Watershed | Latitude: 31.6596°, Longitude: -111.6144° | Elevation (USGS): 1232.3 m

| | Storm Recurrence Interval [yr] | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|--|
| | 10 | 25 | 50 | 60 | 80 | 100 | |
| Intensity (mm/hr) | 20.5 | 24.6 | 27.7 | 28.5 | 30.1 | 31.7 | |
| Peak runoff (m ³ s ⁻¹) | 26.4 | 31.6 | 35.6 | 36.7 | 38.8 | 40.8 | |



CN Method/Wildcat5

Table 5. Precipitation Depth Estimates (mm) for Sub-watershed C | Latitude: 31.6596°, Longitude: - 111.6144° | Elevation (USGS): 1232.3 m

| Storm Duration [hr] | Storm Recurrence Interval [yr] | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|--|--|
| | 10 | 25 | 60 | 80 | | |
| 2 | 59 | 71 | 81.8 | 85.4 | | |
| 6 | 67 | 81 | 94.2 | 98.6 | | |
| 12 | 77 | 92 | 107.4 | 112.2 | | |
| 24 | 86 | 102 | 116.4 | 121.2 | | |

Table 6. Design Storm Peak Flows (m³s⁻¹) for Sub-watershed C | Latitude: 31.6596°, Longitude: - 111.6144° | Elevation (USGS): 1232.3 m

| Storm Duration [hr] | Storm Recurrence Interval [yr] | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| | 10 | 25 | 60 | 80 | | |
| 2 | 104.8 | 153.6 | 200.7 | 217.0 | | |
| 6 | 74.5 | 105.4 | 136.0 | 146.3 | | |
| 12 | 56.8 | 76.1 | 96.4 | 102.8 | | |
| 24 | 35.7 | 46.5 | 56.4 | 59.7 | | |



Figure 6. Design storm hydrographs producing peak "flood"-flow at spillway capacity threshold/limit a) 10-yr, 24-hr (35.7 [m³s⁻¹]) and b) routed through reservoir (35.4 [m³s⁻¹]) over Subwatershed C.



Table 7. Precipitation Depth Estimates (mm) for Entire 1501-ha Watershed | Latitude: 31.6596°, Longitude: -111.6144° | Elevation (USGS): 1232.3 m

| Storm Duration [hr] | Storm Recurrence Interval [yr] | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|--|--|
| | 10 | 25 | 60 | 80 | | |
| 2 | 59 | 71 | 81.8 | 85.4 | | |
| 6 | 67 | 81 | 94.2 | 98.6 | | |
| 12 | 77 | 92 | 107.4 | 112.2 | | |
| 24 | 86 | 102 | 116.4 | 121.2 | | |

Table 8. Design Storm Peak Flows (m³s⁻¹) for Entire 1501-ha Watershed | Latitude: 31.6596°, Longitude: -111.6144° | Elevation (USGS): 1232.3 m

| Storm Duration [hr] | Storm Recurrence Interval [yr] | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 10 | 25 | 60 | 80 |
| 2 | 118.1 | 174.8 | 229.7 | 248.6 |
| 6 | 83.4 | 120.8 | 158.1 | 170.9 |
| 12 | 64.5 | 87.2 | 111.3 | 118.9 |
| 24 | 42.0 | 54.6 | 66.2 | 70.0 |



Figure 7. Design storm hydrographs producing peak "flood"-flow at spillway capacity threshold/limit a) 10-yr, 24-hr (42.0 [m³s⁻¹]) and b) routed through reservoir (41.6 [m³s⁻¹]) over Entire 1501-ha Watershed.



- Spillway discharge capacity calculated as 21.1 [m³/s].
 - Likely built within channel without similar capacity analysis presented
- Based on Rational Method and CN Method Results:
 - Spillway of adequate capacity for runoff volumes generated for 10-yr to 25-yr recurrence interval storms of variable durations and intensities IF spatial extent of rainfall limited to Sub-watersheds A and B.
 - Spillway capacity exceeded for runoff volumes generated for 10-yr or 25-yr recurrence interval storms of all durations and intensities evaluated IF rainfall occurred over Sub-watershed C and/or the Entire 1501-ha Watershed.

GRACIAS



THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA





Contact Information

Cameron Dorsett; Donald Slack; Mary Nichols; Kamel Didan

The University of Arizona

ckdorsett@email.arizona.edu slackd@email.arizona.edu mary.nichols@ars.usda.gov didan@email.arizona.edu

